

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,611	09/29/2003	William J. Boyle	ACS 63641 (3386X)	6361
24201 7 FULWIDER PA	7590 12/19/200 ATTON	6	EXAMINER	
6060 CENTER	DRIVE		PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
10TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3738	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/675,611	BOYLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher D. Prone	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oc	ctober 2006.					
, 	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<i>'</i> —					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,6 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10 and 12-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	🗖 .	,				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/675,611 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/10/06 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 10/10/06 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/675,611

Art Unit: 3738

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-10 and 12-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (USPN 6,228,062) Howell et al.

Howell discloses the same invention as claimed being a torque device comprising a guidewire (24), a split seam sheath (36), handle (32) with a lumen (60) extending there through, a side-port (46) to remove the sheath from the guidewire, an extension arm (10) having an a tubular extension (shown near the reference character 58 in figure 5) and an opening for receiving both the guidewire and sheath.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over (FPD FR 2580504) Pieronne in view of (USPN 6,228,062) Howell et al.

Pieronne discloses the invention substantially as claimed being an embolic filtering system comprising an expandable filter frame (1), a filter element (11), and a

split seam sheath (16). However, Pieronne does not disclose all the structural elements

of the torque device.

Howell teaches the use of a locking torque device comprising a guidewire (24), a split seam sheath (36), handle (32) with a lumen (60) extending there through, a funnel shaped side-port (46 shown in figure 5) to remove the sheath from the guidewire, a locking mechanism (comprising elements 50 and 52), an extension arm (10) having an a tubular extension (shown near the reference character 58 in figure 5) and an opening for receiving both the guidewire and sheath, and a member (34) for preventing kinking of the guidewire in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of providing the user with enhanced ability to lock and maneuver the sheath and guidewire.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the end seal 19 of Pieronne with the torque member disclosed by Howell in order to provide the operator better control the separation sheath during its separation from the guidewire.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/15/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues, that the guidewire of Howell as identified by the examiner, does not function as a guidewire. The examiner maintains his opinion that element 24 of Howell performs the functions of a guidewire by guiding the device to a desired operating site.

The applicant further argues that the Howell device is not designed to split from proximal retraction of the sheath. However the examiner is adding the torque device of Howell to Pieronne at its proximal end (shown in figure 3 of Pieronne) to better control and guides the separation of the sheath. Pieronne is already splitting its sheath through proximal retraction. The combination simply gives the user better control of both the sheath and the guidewire. Therefore the device of Howell splits its sheath through proximal retraction.

The applicant's final argument is that element 19 of Pieronne is not a torque device, however this is not a proper argument because the rejection is relying upon the torque device taught by Howell and not that of Pieronne.

Conclusion

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10675611. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 6

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3799 Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

CP.